1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.		
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
4	110 West A Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
5	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2011-555		
11	PAMELA JEANNE RAGAN ACCUSATION		
12	AKA PAMELA JEANNE BUMPAS 32351 Lodgepole Dr.		
13	Evergreen, CO 80439		
14	Registered Nurse License No. 592047		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her		
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing ("Board"),		
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	Registered Nurse License		
23	2. On or about December 10, 2001, the Board issued Registered Nurse License Number		
24	592047 to Pamela Jeanne Ragan, aka Pamela Jeanne Bumpas ("Respondent"). The registered		
25	nurse license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and		
26	will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.		
27			
28			
	1		

ACCUSATION

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 3. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with Code section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 4. Code section 118 provides, in pertinent part, that the withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board . . . shall not deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any ground.
- 5. Code section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under Code section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 6. Code section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another California health care professional licensing board. A certified copy of the decision or judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action....

COST RECOVERY

7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Disciplinary Action by the Colorado State Board of Nursing)

- 8. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(4), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that she was disciplined by the Colorado State Board of Nursing ("Colorado Board"), as follows:
- 9. On or about February 25, 2004, following a Board investigation and meeting concerning Pamela Ragan, RN, the Colorado Board issued a Letter of Admonition on Respondent's nursing license.
 - 10. The Colorado Board based its action on the following facts:
 - a. On or about June 2002, Respondent was employed as a Registered Nurse at Memorial Hospital.
 - b. On or about June 25, 2002, Respondent cared for Patient P.H. during her admission to the ICU. Patient P.H. had a standing order for 15 ml of Lortab liquid every four hours for pain relief from her attending physician.
 - c. Respondent did not administer the required dosage, instead giving 7.5 ml at two hour intervals. The decision to administer less than the prescribed amount, and at more frequent intervals, was made by Respondent without the approval of the attending physician and beyond the scope of practice of a Registered Nurse.
 - d. Due to the altered administration of Lortab, the patient's pain was not adequately managed. Respondent recognized that Patient's pain was not being adequately managed but failed to notify or seek assistance from the nursing supervisor. Respondent claims she attempted to contact the attending physician but was unsuccessful.
 - e. Respondent failed to document that she made several attempts to contact the attending physician.
 - 11. On or about February 28, 2007, following a Board investigation and Inquiry Panel meeting concerning Pamela Ragan, RN, case number 2006-001303, the Colorado Board issued a Letter of Admonition on Respondent's nursing license.
 - 12. The Colorado Board based its action on the following facts:

ACCUSATION

	·		
1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
2	DATED A. A. A.	Displant Bro	
3	DATED: <u>December 21, 2010</u>	OUISE R. BAILEY, M.Ed., RN	
4	E	Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing	
5	S	Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6	LA2010601292	Complainant	
7			
8			
9		•	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23		·	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	3		
		5	

ACCUSATION